

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Filed: July 2, 2021

* * * * *		UNPUBLISHED
JO ANN GILBERT,	*	
	*	No. 18-402v
Petitioner,	*	
v.	*	Special Master Gowen
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs.
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * *		

*Jimmy A. Zgheib, Zgheib Sayad, P.C., White Plains, NY, for petitioner.*  
*James V. Lopez, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

**DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On April 28, 2021, Jo Ann Gilbert ("petitioner") filed a motion for final attorneys' fees and costs ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 80). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** the motion and award \$45,257.65 in final attorneys' fees and costs.

**I. Procedural History**

On March 16, 2018, petitioner filed her claim in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> Petitioner alleged that she sustained a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") after receiving a Prevnar 13 vaccination on August 31, 2017. Petition (ECF No. 1). She filed supporting documentation and a statement of completion on the same day. The claim was initially assigned to the Office of Special Masters' Special Processing

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002, *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012), because this opinion contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims. The court's website is at <http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7>. **This means the opinion will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** Before the opinion is posted on the court's website, each party has 14 days to file a motion requesting redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). An objecting party must provide the court with a proposed redacted version of the opinion. *Id.* **If neither party files a motion for redaction within 14 days, the opinion will be posted on the court's website without any changes. *Id.***

<sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to 34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereinafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

Unit (“SPU”). On April 12, 2019, respondent filed his report pursuant to Vaccine Rule 4(c), in which he recommended against compensation. Resp. Rep’t (ECF No. 34). The case was transferred to my docket. Notice of Reassignment (ECF No. 36). Petitioner filed additional documentation as well as supportive reports from an expert orthopedist, Marko Bodor, M.D., to which respondent answered with reports from his own expert orthopedist, Brian Feeley, M.D. Following a status conference on May 29, 2020, *see* Scheduling Order (ECF No. 65), the parties advised that they had reached a tentative settlement on September 9, 2020, *see* 15-Week Order (ECF No. 71). On December 17, 2020, I issued a decision approving the joint stipulation awarding petitioner compensation in the form of a lump sum of \$75,000.00. Stipulation (ECF No. 74); Decision (ECF No. 75); *see also* Judgment (ECF No. 77).

On April 28, 2021, petitioner filed the present motion, in which she requests final attorneys’ fees of \$36,464.70 and attorneys’ costs of \$8,792.95, for a total request of \$45,257.65. Fees App. at 1. Petitioner avers that she has not incurred any fees or costs related to the prosecution of the claim, pursuant to General Order No. 9. *Id.* at Tab 3 at 2. On April 29, 2021, respondent filed a response which provides that respondent is satisfied that the statutory requirements for attorneys’ fees and costs are met in this case. Fees Response (ECF No. 81) at 2. Petitioner has not filed a reply. Petitioner’s motion is now ripe for adjudication.

## II. Legal Standard

The Vaccine Act provides that in the event that a petition results in compensation, the special master “shall” also award reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in any proceeding on such petition. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). Here, as petitioner was awarded compensation pursuant to a stipulation, petitioner shall also receive an award of attorneys’ fees and costs.

The Federal Circuit has approved the use of the lodestar approach to determine reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs under the Vaccine Act. *Avera v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Using the lodestar approach, a court first determines the reasonable hourly rate, which is then applied to the number of hours reasonable expended on the litigation. *Id.* at 1347-58 (citing *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 888 (1984)). The Office of Special Masters (“OSM”) has prepared ranges of reasonable hourly rates for attorneys of varying experience and for paralegals, which are posted on the Court’s website.<sup>3</sup>

A petitioner “bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred” are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n.1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208-09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff’d* No. 99-537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008). Special masters may look to their experience and judgment to reduce the number of hours billed to a level they find reasonable for the work performed. *Saxton v. Sec’y of*

<sup>3</sup> Court of Federal Claims – Office of Special Masters, *OSM Attorneys’ Forum Hourly Rate Fee Schedules*, at <https://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/node/2914>.

*Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993). A line-by-line evaluation of the billing records is not required. *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct., *aff'd in relevant part*, 988 F.2d 131 (Fed Cir. 1993) (per curiam).

### III. Analysis

#### A. Attorneys' Fees

Petitioner requests hourly rates for attorneys and paralegal at the Zgheib Sayad firm which are consistent with the rates awarded in many previous decisions by myself and other special masters. Fees App – Tab 1 at 22. These hourly rates are also reasonable for the work documented here. Turning next to review of the submitted billing statement, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries reasonably and accurately describe the work performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Moreover, respondent has not identified any particular entries as being objectionable. Therefore, they are compensated without adjustment.

#### B. Attorneys' and Petitioner's Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Here, petitioner requests final attorneys' costs totaling \$8,792.95. Fees App – Tab 2. The costs are for filing the petition, obtaining medical records, postage, photocopies, and Dr. Bodor's expert review. *Id.* These costs are adequately documented, reasonable, and will be awarded.

### IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, petitioner's motion is **GRANTED** and the following is awarded:

- 1) **A lump sum in the amount of \$45,257.65, representing reimbursement for final attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to petitioner and her attorney.**<sup>4</sup>

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Thomas L. Gowen**

Thomas L. Gowen

Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. *See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

<sup>5</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).